



PREFACE



Indiana's first state capital located in Corydon

Forward

Our communities are constantly changing and shifting. As we look to the future, we can choose to react to change or anticipate and direct the changes that occur. When we engage in a comprehensive planning process we are both responding to changes that have happened or are happening, and planning for changes that will likely occur in the future.

Harrison County faces many development pressures as the Louisville metropolitan area expands outward. In 2000, the urbanized area reached the county's boarder and is likely to continue spreading into the county. Harrison County is also presented with numerous opportunities for development due to various transportation improvements. With improved access to Louisville, the county could see more residential, commercial and industrial development.

This plan accounts for the changes that are presently occurring in the county while planning for the changes that will occur within and outside the community over the next twenty years.

Indiana statutes establish the minimum requirements for a comprehensive plan. As stated in IC 36-7-4-502, a comprehensive plan must contain at least:

1. A statement of objectives for the future development of the jurisdiction;
2. A statement of policy for the land use development of the jurisdiction;
3. A statement of policy for the development of public ways, public places, public lands, public structures, and public utilities.

This comprehensive plan addresses these elements in Part II: Policy Plan through the land use plan, transportation plan, public facilities plan, and issue-specific plans. Each of these chapters includes policy statements in the form of goals and objectives.



Table of Contents

Preface.....	1
Forward	1
Table to Contents.....	2
Framework.....	5
How to Use the Document	6
Definitions	6
Acknowledgements.....	8
 PART I: CONTEXT	
Chapter 1: Setting the Context.....	9
History and Setting	9
County Dynamics	9
Market Analysis.....	10
 Chapter 2: Research and Analysis.....	21
Introduction.....	21
Demographics and Economic Profile	21
 PART II: POLICY PLAN	
Chapter 3: Vision and Goals.....	41
Introduction.....	41
Vision Statement	44
Goals	43
 Chapter 4: Land Use	45
Introduction.....	45
Goals and Objectives	45
Type and Quality of Development	48
Location Criteria	49
Future Land Use Plan	52
Future Land Use Map.....	53
Land Use Descriptions	55
Critical Areas	57



Chapter 5: Transportation	59
Introduction	59
Goals and Objectives	59
Existing Functional Classification	60
Existing Transportation Network.....	62
Functional Classification Map	63
Future Transportation Network	66
Future Transportation Map	71
 Chapter 6: Public Facilities	 73
Introduction	73
Goals and Objectives	73
Current Public Facilities	74
Public Facilities Map	77
Future Parks and Recreation Needs	80
 Chapter 7: Special Issues	 81
Introduction	81
Goals and Objectives	82
I-64 Interchange.....	82
Lanesville Interchange.....	84
Ohio River Bridge	85
Airport.....	86
 PART III: IMPLEMENTATION	
 Chapter 8: Implementation	 87
Introduction	87
Action Plan	88
Implementation Tools.....	108



PART IV: CONTEXT REPORT

Chapter 9: Public Participation.....	113
Introduction.....	113
Key Person/ Stakeholder Interviews.....	113
Focus Group Meetings	116
Steering Committee Meetings.....	121
Public Workshops.....	122
Public Open Houses	129
Public Hearings	130
 Appendix A: Land Use Scenarios	 131



Framework

The framework of this comprehensive plan is formed around four key questions that the plan both asks and attempts to answer. These questions are:

- Where are we now?
- Where are we going?
- Where do we want to go?
- How do we get there?

The question of “Where are we now?” is addressed in Part I: Context which includes the history and setting of the county, county dynamics, market analysis, a demographic and economic profile, physical analysis, and key issues. The responses to this question are descriptive and based on published data and observed characteristics.

“Where are we going?” is the question we must answer to determine the current path we are taking. This path is the likely outcome for the future of the community if no changes are made to current policy or programming. The size of the future population, the amount of new development that will be required to serve that population, and the location of new development based on current trends are some indicators that determine the response to this question.

We ask, “Where do we want to go?” to identify the desired community characteristics for the future. Responses to this question are a result of input from the public, the plan’s steering committee, focus groups, and key stakeholders. The response takes the form of land use scenarios, a vision statement, goals, objectives, and planning principles which verbally and graphically depict the desired future for Harrison County.

Finally, responses to “How do we get there?” provide the county with tools to implement the comprehensive plan and shape the community in a way that is consistent with the desired vision of the future. They include action steps, timeframes, potential funding sources, responsible parties, and other details that will assist the community achieve its vision.



How to Use the Document

Part I: Context – Describes the existing conditions of the community, both in terms of demographic and economic analysis and through observation and input from key stakeholders. This part answers the questions “where are we now?” and “Where are we going?”

Part II: Policy Plan – Establishes the vision for the community (Chapter 3), and lays out goals for land use (Chapter 4), transportation (Chapter 5), and public facilities (Chapter 6). It also considers specific issues Harrison County must address such as a new I-64 Interchange, the possible Ohio River Bridge, and a new airport (Chapter 7). Part II poses and answers the question, “Where do we want to go?”

Part III: Implementation – Provides a blueprint for implementing the plan including action steps, suggested revisions to ordinances, new and modified programs, potential funding sources, etc. This part answers the question, “How do we get there?”

Part IV: Context Report – Summarizes the public participation responses that were received from the public, key person interviews, focus group meetings, and the steering committee throughout the planning process.

Definitions

There are some key terms that are used in the document that are important to understand in order to effectively use the document.

Action plans: Specific steps to be taken to implement objectives and goals if the vision is to be achieved.

Goal: A broad statement that supports the vision while adding a specific area of focus. Goals are lofty, but attainable within the planning horizon.

Key person/Stakeholder: An individual who is in some way responsible for implementing the plan or has a vested interest in the outcome of the plan, whose input should be considered early in the planning process.

Objective: A statement that adds a level of specificity to the goal, without identifying the individual actions that must be taken for implementation. Objectives are generally measurable so that the community can monitor implementation progress.



Harrison County, Indiana Comprehensive Plan Update 2008

Planning Horizon: The period of time considered by the plan; a comprehensive plan generally considers a twenty-year period. Review is recommended every five years or as conditions change.

Policy: A definite course or method of action to guide present and future decisions. Policies may be legislative or administrative in nature.

Steering Committee: The group of people, assigned by the Plan Commission, to represent the community and guide the comprehensive planning process. The Steering Committee members serve as a liaison between the community and the consultant during the planning process.

Vision: A broad statement describing the desired future of the county, as shared by members of the community.



Harrison County has a rich cultural history



Acknowledgements

Harrison County Commissioners

J.R. Eckart James Goldman, President Terry Miller

Harrison County Council

District 1 – Leslie Robertson At Large – Chris Timberlake
District 2 – William Nichols At Large – Carl “Buck” Mathes, President
District 3 – Gordon Pendleton At Large – Rhonda Rhoads
District 4 – Ralph Sherman

Harrison County Planning Commission

Tom Bube Adrienne Held Joseph Martin
Charles Crawford Jim Klintstiver Vic McCauley
J.R. Eckart Larry Ott Rhonda Rhoads

Steering Committee Members

Gregory E. Albers James G. Rhonda Rhoads
Thomas Bube Klintstiver Danny R. Schroeder
Phyllis Combs Joseph Martin Darrell Voelker
Charles Crawford Vic McCauley Robert W. Walker
John Dickerson Ken Oppel Rodger D. Walker
J.R. Eckart Larry Ott Judy Wingfield
Fritz Gettelfinger Kevin Russel Danny Wiseman
Adrienne Held Greg Reas Roger Wooten
Richard Kerr

Consultant Team

HNTB Corporation
Thomas West, AICP – Project Manager
Amy Williams, AICP
Matthew Miller, PE
Brooke Moore, AICP
Rachel Uthe
Jason Flora

Gem Public Sector Services

Doug Harnish